

Supermembranes in 4 Dimensions

Jens Hoppe

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Karlsruhe
P.O. Box 6380
76128 Karlsruhe
Germany
e-mail: be10@dkauni2.bitnet

KA-THEP-9-93

October 93

Abstract

A non-parametric gauge for supermembranes is introduced which substantially simplifies previous treatments and directly leads to the supersymmetric extension of a Kármán-Tsien gas.

As shown in [1], the dynamics of a relativistic membrane in 4 space–time dimensions is closely related to that of a 2–dimensional irrotational isentropic gas, whose pressure–density relation is of the ‘Kármán–Tsien’ form [2], i.e. with the pressure being (up to a constant) inversely proportional to minus the mass density. While certain special properties of such a pressure–density relation have long been known (see e.g. [3]), another one is that by adding two real anticommuting fields to the velocity–potential and the mass–density, the theory can be extended to a supersymmetric one. While the corresponding Hamiltonian was written down in [1], its detailed derivation [4] — which was somewhat lengthy when starting with the partially gauge fixed light cone supermembrane Hamiltonian (as given in [5]) — can actually be simplified substantially by using a non–parametric description of supermembranes from the start. This description seems to be the simplest one yet known. It consists of choosing ‘right at the beginning’ 3 of the 4 Minkowski lightcone coordinates as the parameters of the world–volume.

In this gauge, the supermembrane–Lagrangian ([6]; respectively [5], eq. (2.1)) simplifies enormously, becoming

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{2(\dot{p} - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\dot{\Theta}) + (\vec{\nabla}p - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\vec{\nabla}\Theta)^2} + \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\vec{\gamma} \times \vec{\nabla}\Theta \quad (1)$$

where $p = p(t, x, y)$ is a (real) bosonic field (the light–cone coordinate $x^0 + x^3$, as a function of the other three, i.e. $t = (x^0 - x^3)/2$, $x = x^1$, $y = x^2$), $\Theta = \Theta(t, x, y) = (\Theta_1, \Theta_2)^{tr}$ real fermionic, and $\vec{\gamma} \times \vec{\nabla} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_y - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x$.

Defining canonical momenta,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q} &:= \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{p}} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2(\dot{p} - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\dot{\Theta}) + (\vec{\nabla}p - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\vec{\nabla}\Theta)^2}} \\ \Pi_r &:= \frac{\delta^L \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\Theta}_r} = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\Theta_r}{\sqrt{2(\dot{p} - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\dot{\Theta}) + (\vec{\nabla}p - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\vec{\nabla}\Theta)^2}} = +\frac{i}{2}\Theta_r \tilde{q} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

one finds

$$\dot{p}\tilde{q} + \dot{\Theta}_1\Pi_1 + \dot{\Theta}_2\Pi_2 - \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{q} \left\{ (\vec{\nabla}p - \frac{i}{2}\Theta^{tr}\vec{\nabla}\Theta)^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}^2} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \frac{i}{2} \Theta^{tr}\vec{\gamma} \times \vec{\nabla}\Theta \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Letting

$$\Psi := \frac{\Theta_1 + i\Theta_2}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\tilde{q}}, \quad q := -\tilde{q}, \quad (4)$$

one obtains the Hamiltonian ($\partial = \partial_x - i\partial_y$)

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int dx dy \left\{ q(\vec{\nabla}p - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\Psi\vec{\nabla}\bar{\Psi} + \bar{\Psi}\vec{\nabla}\Psi}{q})^2 + \frac{1}{q}(1 + i(\Psi\bar{\partial}\Psi + \bar{\Psi}\partial\bar{\Psi})) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where the classical Dirac-brackets to be used are

$$[q(t, \vec{x}), p(t, \vec{x}')] = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'), \quad [\Psi(t, \vec{x}), \bar{\Psi}(t, \vec{x}')] = -i\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \quad (6)$$

(all others=0). The expression for the remaining 9 generators of the Poincaré group follow in analogy with the purely bosonic case (cp. [1]). Also, one may easily verify that

$$Q = \int d\vec{x} \left(\frac{\Psi}{\sqrt{q}} + \sqrt{q} \bar{\Psi} \partial p + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\Psi \bar{\Psi}}{\sqrt{q}} \partial \bar{\Psi} \right) \quad (7)$$

and its complex conjugate, $\bar{Q}$, satisfy [4]

$$[Q, Q] = 0, \quad [Q, \bar{Q}] = -2iH \quad (8)$$

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank M. Bordemann and O. Lechtenfeld for very helpful discussions.

References

- [1] M. Bordemann, J. Hoppe; *Phys. Lett. B* 317 (1993)
- [2] H. S. Tsien; *J. of Aeron. Sciences* 6 (1939) 399
T. von Kármán; *J. of Aeron. Sciences* 8 (1941) 377
- [3] N. Coburn; *Quat. J. of Appl. Math.* (1945) 106
C. Rogers, W. F. Shadwick; ‘Bäcklund Transformations and their Applications’,
Academic Press 1982
- [4] J. Hoppe; KA–THEP–6–93
- [5] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe, H. Nicolai; *Nuc. Phys. B* 305 (1988) 545
- [6] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin, P.K. Townsend; *Phys. Lett. B* 189 (1987)